

03-30-60

A

PATENT APPLICATION
Attorney's Do. No. 1157-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EXPRESS MAIL

MAILING LABEL NO. EL432978385US
DATE OF DEPOSIT: MARCH 28, 2000

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER AND ENCLOSURES AND/OR FEE ARE
BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS
MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 CFR 1.10 ON THE
DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO: BOX PATENT
APPLICATION, ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON D.C.
20231.

Kelli S. Childs
(SENDER'S PRINTED NAME)

Kelli S. Childs
(SIGNATURE)

Box Patent Application
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Enclosed for filing is a patent application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) of:

Inventor [or Application Identifier]: Ariel S. Rogson
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UPDATING DATABASE OF AUTOMATIC
SPELLING CORRECTIONS

[If continuing application] This application is a ☐ continuation, ☐ divisional, ☐
continuation-in-part of prior application Serial No. _____, filed _____.

Enclosures:

- ☒ Specification (pages 1-10); claims (pages 11-15); abstract (page 16)
- ☒ 14 sheet(s) of drawings
- ☒ Declaration or Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney
 - ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
 - ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d))
 - ☐ Incorporation by Reference--The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by reference therein.
 - ☐ Deletion of Inventors (signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b))
- ☒ Verified Statement Claiming Small Entity Status

- ☒ Power of Attorney
☐ Assignment with cover sheet
☐ Certified copy of priority document:
☒ Information Disclosure Statement with Form PTO 1449
☒ Copies of references listed on attached Form PTO-1449
☐ Preliminary Amendment
☐ Change of Address
☒ Return Postcard

CLAIMS AS FILED

For	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate	Basic Fee
				\$345.00
Total Claims	30-20	10	x \$ 9 =	90.00
Independent Claims	4-3	1	x \$ 39 =	39.00
Multiple Dependent Claim Fee			x \$ 130 =	0.00
TOTAL FILING FEE				\$474.00

- ☐ Cancel in this divisional application original claims _____ of the prior application Serial No. _____ before calculating the filing fee. (At least one original independent claim must be retained for filing purposes.)
☒ A check in the amount of \$474.00 to cover ☒ filing fee is enclosed.
☒ Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account number 13-1703. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Ariel Rogson

Ariel Rogson
Reg. No. 43,054

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
 1030 SW Morrison Street
 Portland, Oregon 97205
 (503) 222-3613

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Ariel S. Rogson

Serial No.:

Filed:

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UPDATING DATABASE OF
AUTOMATIC SPELLING CORRECTIONS

DECLARATION CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY
STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27 (b)) INDEPENDENT INVENTOR

As a below-named inventor, I hereby state that I qualify as an independent inventor as defined in 37 CFR 1.9(c) for purposes of paying reduced fees under Section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code, to the Patent and Trademark Office with regard to the invention entitled METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UPDATING DATABASE OF AUTOMATIC SPELLING CORRECTIONS described in the

☒ specification filed herewith

☐ application serial no. _____, filed _____,

☐ patent no. _____, issued _____

I have not assigned, granted, conveyed or licensed and am under no obligation under contract or law to assign, grant, convey or license, any rights in the invention to any person who could not be classified as an independent inventor under 37 CFR 1.9(c) if that person had made the invention, or to any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e).

Each person, concern or organization to which I have assigned, granted, conveyed, or licensed or am under an obligation under contract or law to assign, grant, convey, or license any rights in the invention is listed below:

☒ no such person, concern, or organization

☐ persons, concerns or organizations listed below*

*NOTE: Separate statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27)

FULL NAME:

ADDRESS:

☐ individual ☐ small business concern ☐ nonprofit organization

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b))

Full name of sole or first inventor:

Ariel S. Rogson

Inventor's signature: Ariel S. Rogson

3/28/00

(Date)

09537965-0328000

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UPDATING DATABASE OF AUTOMATIC SPELLING CORRECTIONS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention pertains to spell checking on a computer and more particularly to automatically updating the wordlist of spelling corrections to automatically correct in a document.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Over the years since computers first entered popular society, computers have given users the ability to do tasks more quickly and efficiently. One very early application of computers was for editing and printing documents. Before computers, documents were typed on typewriters. Correcting such documents required using a dictionary to identify spelling errors, and documents would then have to be re-typed. Computers with word processing or editing software enabled documents to be changed and re-printed without the user having to re-type the document.

Early on, software companies realized the advantages of providing electronic dictionaries, to save users the effort of having to use manual dictionaries. But users had to manually invoke the spell checking component of the editor. Eventually, software companies added automatic spell checking to their editing programs. Automatic spell checking uses a static update list to let users know about misspelled words immediately, without having to run the spell checker.

FIG. 1 is a flowchart of automatic spell checking as it is used in the prior art, as exemplified by the AutoCorrect in Microsoft® Word and the QuickCorrect tool in Corel® WordPerfect®. (Microsoft is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries. Corel and WordPerfect are registered trademarks of Corel Corporation or Corel Corporation Limited.) At step 105, a newly entered word is parsed. At step 110, the word is checked to see if it is misspelled. If the entered word is misspelled, at step 115 the static update list is checked to see if the word is listed. If the misspelled word is in the static update list, then at step 120, the misspelled word is replaced with the correctly spelled word.

FIG. 2 shows what happens to a document when the static update list is used. Document 205 is a document in which the user has typed a misspelled word that is in the static update list. In document 205, the misspelled word is "teh." Note that the cursor is positioned directly after the word. The editing program cannot be certain that the word is completed until after the user has typed a space or some other symbol that ends the word. Once the user types a space, the editing program checks to see if the word is in the static update list. Since the word "teh" is in the static update list, the editing program automatically replaces the word "teh" with the correctly spelled word "the."

FIG. 2 also shows what happens to a document when the misspelled word is not in the static update list. In document 215, the user has just typed the word "copmany," which is misspelled. But because the word "copmany" is not in the static update list, the editing program cannot automatically correct the misspelling in document 220. In this case, the editing program informs the user that a misspelled word has been found, for example, by placing wavy line 225 underneath the misspelled word.

FIG. 3 shows a static update list as used for spell checking in the prior art. In FIG. 3, static update list 305 includes pairs of words. One entry in each pair is a misspelled word. The other entry is the correct spelling for the misspelled word in the first entry. For example, pair 310 includes misspelled word "teh" 315 and correctly spelled word "the" 320. Often, editing programs include pre-built static update lists, which include commonly misspelled words, as shown in FIG. 3.

Spell checking increases a user's productivity by allowing the user to know more quickly about mistakes in his/her document. But the user is still required to manually update the dictionary and static update lists. Where a user repeatedly makes the same typing mistakes that are not already in the static update list, the user must interrupt his/her typing to add new words to the static update list.

Accordingly, a need remains for a method and apparatus that helps a user update an automatic spell checker.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides a method and software for automatically updating a spell checking static update list by watching the user's typing and learning what words the user intended. When the user enters a word in a document, the word is scanned. If the word is misspelled, a static update list is checked to see if the misspelled word has an automatic

correction (i.e., a corresponding correct word). If the misspelled word is not in the static update list and the user corrects the misspelling, the misspelled word and the correction word are both captured as a word pair in a dynamic update list. If the user corrects the misspelling a sufficient number of times, the static update list can itself be dynamically updated to recognize the correction regularly entered by the user.

The foregoing and other features, objects, and advantages of the invention will become more readily apparent from the following detailed description, which proceeds with reference to the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a flowchart of how a static update list is used in the prior art.

FIG. 2 shows how static update lists are used in the prior art to automatically correct misspelled words.

FIG. 3 shows an update list according to the prior art.

FIG. 4 shows a computer system on which the invention can be implemented.

FIG. 5 shows a dynamic update module according to the invention interacting with other modules in software for spell checking an edited document.

FIG. 6 shows a document being edited by a user using a dynamic update module according to FIG. 5 in a preferred embodiment.

FIG. 7 shows a document being edited by a user using a dynamic update module according to FIG. 5 in a second embodiment.

FIG. 8 shows the dynamic update list being used to update the static update list according to the invention.

FIGs. 9A and 9B show data structures for the dynamic update module of FIG. 5.

FIGs. 10A and 10B show a flowchart of the method for maintaining the dynamic update list in the preferred embodiment.

FIG. 11 is a flowchart of the method for updating the static update list by the dynamic update module as shown in FIG. 8.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart of the method for a user to select between alternative corrections of a misspelled word in the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIG. 4 shows a computer system 405 on which a dynamic update list for spell checking can operate according to the invention. Computer system 405 conventionally includes a computer 410, a monitor 415, a keyboard 420, and a mouse 425. Optional equipment not shown in FIG. 4 can include a printer and other input/output devices. Also not shown in FIG. 4 are the internal components of computer system 405: e.g., a central processing unit, memory, file system, etc.

FIG. 5 shows a dynamic update module interacting with other modules in an edited document. In FIG. 5, document 505 is being edited by editor 510. Although in the preferred embodiment editor 510 is a word processing program, a person skilled in the art will recognize that other editors exist. Many other programs include editing modules: e.g., spreadsheets, database management systems, and schedulers include basic editors that can include spell checking capabilities. For the remainder of this document, however, it is assumed that the editor in question is part of a word processing program.

Other modules that can operate on document 505 are thesaurus 515, grammar checker 520, spell checker 525, and automated checker 530. Thesaurus 515 provides the user with synonyms of words in document 505. Grammar checker 520 checks document 505 for proper grammar, informs the user when possible grammar errors exist, and presents the user with correct grammar. Spell checker 525 checks document 505 for proper spelling, informs the user of misspelled words, and presents the user with correct spelling options. Automated checker 530 performs automatic spelling correction (and in an alternate embodiment grammar checking as well) on document 505 by comparing words entered by the user with static update list 540. When a word is matched with a word in static update list 540, automated checker replaces the misspelled word with the correction in static update list 540.

Dynamic update 535 watches document 505. When the user enters a misspelled word which automated checker 530 does not automatically correct, dynamic update 535 checks to see if the user corrects the misspelling. If the user corrects the misspelling, dynamic update 535 checks to see if the user has misspelled the word before and corrected it in the same way. If the user has made the misspelling and correction before, dynamic update 535 increments a count of the number of times the user has made the mistake and corrected it in dynamic update list 545. Otherwise, dynamic update 535 adds the misspelled word and its correction for future reference.

It may happen that the misspelled word is included in static update list 540. If the correction in static update list 540 does not satisfy the user, the user can overrule the correction with an alternate correction. Dynamic update 535 can then add the misspelled word and the alternate correction to dynamic update list 545 for later use.

10 If the user has made a mistake and corrected it a threshold number of times, dynamic update 535 moves the misspelled word and its correction from dynamic update list 545 to static update list 540. Then, when the user makes the same misspelling in the future, automated checker 530 will automatically correct the misspelling for the user. In the preferred embodiment, dynamic update 535 tracks the number of times the user has misspelled a word in a particular way and has corrected the misspelling to a particular correct spelling. However, a person skilled in the art will recognize that any measure indicating the correction is worth adding to static update list 540 can be used in place of a threshold count. For example, the measure indicating the correction is worth adding to static update list 540 can be a count of how many times the user has typed the correctly spelled word. If the user has typed the correctly spelled word enough times, the static update list can be updated based on only one misspelling by the user. Or the measure can be an examination of how frequently the correctly spelled word has been entered relative to the number of words typed by the user. If the user enters the correctly spelled word enough times in a single paragraph (or page, document, or multiple documents), the static update list can be updated.

20 Before dynamic update 535 changes static update list 540, dynamic update 535 should check static update list 540 to see if static update list 540 has the misspelled word already in it, with an alternate correction. If static update list 540 includes a correction for the misspelled word, dynamic update 535 will not change static update list 540. In another embodiment, dynamic update 535 informs the user that two corrections are possible for the misspelled word, and lets the user select the preferred correction. Then, dynamic update 535 updates static update list 540 according to the user's preference.

25 In the preferred embodiment, dynamic update 535 is implemented in software. Thus, both the maintenance of dynamic update list 545 and the update of static update list 540 are done via software. However, a person skilled in the art will recognize that other implementations are possible, depending on the situation. For example, specially constructed hardware implementing a special purpose machine can include a hardware unit implementing the functions of dynamic update 535.

FIG. 6 shows a document being edited by a user using a dynamic update module according to FIG. 5 in a preferred embodiment. Document 605 shows the user typing the word "company." In document 605, the user has misspelled the word "company" as "copmany." In document 610, the automated checker has checked the word, determined that it is misspelled, and also determined that the misspelled "copmany" is not in the static update list. In document 615, the user has started to correct the spelling of "copmany." In document 620, the user has completed correcting the word "company." Finally, entry 625 in the dynamic update list is incremented to show that the user has misspelled and corrected "company" five times.

FIG. 7 shows a document being edited by a user using a dynamic update module according to FIG. 5 in a second embodiment. Document 705 shows the user typing the word "there," but misspelling the word as "theri." In document 710, the automated checker has checked the word, determined that it is misspelled, and automatically corrected the word as "their." In document 715, the user has changed the correction from "their" to "there." Because the misspelled word was already in the static update list of the automated checker, the dynamic update module presents the user with both the original correction alternative and the user's alternate correction. The user then has the option of keeping the original correction in the static update list, replacing the original correction with the user's alternate correction, or having no automatic correction for the misspelling "theri."

FIG. 8 shows the dynamic update list being used to update the static update list. In FIG. 8, dynamic update list 545 has pairs of words as entries where the user has corrected misspelled words. Entry 810 in dynamic update list 545 has been corrected by the user 10 times. As the user has set the threshold maximum count 815 at 10, the pair consisting of misspelled word "copmany" and the correct spelling "company" should now be added to static update list 540. (Note that the invention enables the user to set threshold maximum count 815 at her desired level: if desired, the user can set threshold maximum count 815 at a higher or lower level.) Entry 825 in static update list 540 is added automatically by the dynamic update module.

FIGs. 9A and 9B show alternative data structures for the dynamic update module of FIG. 5. In FIG. 9A the entries in the dynamic update module are organized as a list 905. In list 905, the first element in each entry is the misspelled word. The second element in each entry is the corrected spelling of the misspelled word. The third element is a count of the number of times the word has been misspelled and corrected. For example, entry 910

includes misspelled word "copmany" 915, which the user has corrected to correctly spelled word "company" 920 eight times according to counter 925.

FIG. 9B shows the entries in the dynamic update module organized as a tree. Tree 955 is a sorted tree, where elements to the left of a node come alphabetically before the node, and elements to the right of the node come alphabetically after the node. Although tree 955 is a very basic tree, a person skilled in the art will recognize that more complicated tree structures can be used: for example, B-trees and other tree structures that allow for simple insertion, deletion, and searching of the tree. Node 960 contains the same information as entry of 910 of FIG. 9A.

FIGs. 10A and 10B show a flowchart of the method for maintaining the dynamic update list 545 in the preferred embodiment. At step 1005, a word is parsed. At step 1010, the word is checked to see if it is misspelled. If the word is misspelled, then at step 1012 the static update list is checked to see if the misspelled word is listed. If the misspelled word is in the static update list, then at step 1013 the misspelled word is replaced with the correct spelling of the word from the static update list. At step 1014, the system checks to see if the user replaces the word with a correct spelling. Note that this can happen even if the misspelled word was replaced with a word from the static update list: the static update list may have replaced the misspelled word with a correction other than that desired by the user. At step 1015 the correct spelling of the misspelled word is received. At step 1020 the dynamic update list is checked to see if the misspelled word and its correct spelling are already in the dynamic update list. Note that the dynamic update list stores the correction of the misspelled word, even if the misspelled word was corrected from the static update list. If the misspelled word and its correct spelling are not in the dynamic update list, then at step 1025 the misspelled word and the correct spelling are added to the dynamic update list. Then, at step 1030 the count of the misspelled word and its correction are incremented.

FIG. 11 is a flowchart of the method for updating the static update list by the dynamic update module. At step 1105, the dynamic update module checks to see if the correction count of any entry in the dynamic update list exceeds the threshold count. If the threshold count is exceeded, then at step 1110, the misspelled word and its correction are added to the static update list, and at step 1115, removed from the dynamic update list.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart of the method for a user to select between alternative corrections of a misspelled word. At step 1205, the user is presented with the alternative correct spellings for the misspelled word. In the preferred embodiment, the user is presented

with the alternative correct spellings in a dialog box. At step 1210, the user selects the desired correct spelling for the static update list. At step 1215, the static update list is updated with the misspelled word and the user's chosen correct spelling. Finally, at step 1220, the misspelled word is removed from the dynamic update list.

EXTENSIONS

A person skilled in the art will recognize that there are many possible extensions of this invention. Some extensions included in this invention are as follows:

- Document formatting. Many users repeatedly format documents to their tastes. Such formatting includes choosing a new paper size, changing margins and fonts, inserting headers and footers, and the like. By observing how users format their documents, the invention can aid the user, either by modifying a template on which document formatting is based, or by automatically updating document formats after the user begins the formatting process. The invention can also maintain a list of different formats, and let the user select the desired format from the list.
- Other language support. Although the preferred embodiment discloses the use of the English language, the invention is equally applicable to foreign languages. Further, the invention can determine a frequency of the misspelled words for the user. If the user is typing a document in which the misspelling frequency substantially exceeds the user's norm, the invention can conclude that the document is being generated in another language, and can start comparing "misspelled" words in the document with other language dictionaries. This extension is especially useful where the user has used foreign language dictionaries before.
- Multilingual support. Some users can be expected to be multi-lingual, and will generate documents that can be either monolingual (entirely in one language) or multilingual (in more than one language). The invention can maintain multiple dynamic update lists for the user, including every combination of languages in which the user generates documents. This avoids corrections being made that are valid in one language but not in another language.
- Multi-word corrections. Although in the preferred embodiment the space character is treated as a word delimiter, it can also be treated as a regular character. Then, if the user makes a correction across a space, the dynamic update list can capture this correction and eventually add it to the static update list. For example, if the user types

"mor ethan" and then corrects the phrase to "more than," this multi-word correction can be identified.

- Word completion. In an alternate embodiment, the dynamic update list can update the static update list with word completions. The dynamic update list takes a properly spelled word, such as "explanation," and reduces it to a partial word "expl." If the user completes the partial word "expl" as "explanation" a sufficient number of times, the static update list can receive the pair "expl" and "explanation," allowing the user to short-hand his/her typing. Word completion can be very useful in functional documents, where a particular phrase must be entered multiple times.
- Pick lists. In an alternate embodiment, the static update list can store more than one possible misspelling correction. For example, the static update list can store both "their" and "there" as correct spellings for "theri." The static update list can then correct a misspelling based on language context. In another embodiment, a pick list (a list of words) can pop up, either after the misspelling is determined or by user selection, from which the user can select the desired word.
- Markov processes. A Markov process is a mapping from one state to a list of second states, each second state having a positive probability, and the sum of the probabilities for all second states being one. Given the input state, the Markov process can "guess" at the subsequent state. In the context of the invention, a Markov process can be used to "guess" the correct spelling of a misspelled word based on the frequencies with which the user has corrected the misspelling to an alternate spelling. For example, if the user types "theri," the user has corrected this misspelling to "their" 60% of the time, and the user has corrected this misspelling to "there" 40% of the time, the Markov process can "guess" with 60% certainty that the user intended "their." Each time the user corrects a misspelling, the probabilities can be updated, affecting the probabilities of the Markov process. Markov processes also combine well with pick lists and word completion.
- Multi-user support. Many computers are used by only a single user. But some computers are used by more than one user. Static and dynamic update lists can be maintained for each user, providing each user with individual typing biases and correction preferences.
- Multiple dynamic update lists. In the preferred embodiment, a single dynamic update list is used for updating the static update list for a single user (assuming one user per

computer; see multi-user support above). This means that dynamic update lists are maintained across multiple documents for an individual user. But dynamic update lists can be tied to particular documents.

- Different thresholds. In the preferred embodiment, a counter is used to track how many times the user has entered a particular misspelled word and corrected it. But, as discussed above, other thresholds can be used to determine if the correction should be added to the static update list. For example, the static update list can be updated if the user types the correctly spelled word enough times, even if the user misspelled the word only once. Or the threshold can be set based on the frequency with which the user types the misspelling relative to the number of words in the document. The user can be allowed to choose her desired threshold technique, and can also be allowed to choose when the threshold is considered reached.

Having illustrated and described the principles of our invention in a preferred embodiment thereof, it should be readily apparent to those skilled in the art that the invention can be modified in arrangement and detail without departing from such principles. I claim all modifications coming within the spirit and scope of the accompanying claims.

I claim:

1. A method for updating a static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words in a document with a spell checking program on a computer, the method comprising:

parsing a misspelled word as entered into the document;
verifying that the misspelled word is not spelled correctly;
receiving a corrected spelling of the misspelled word; and
updating the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein updating the static update list includes tracking a measure of how useful it would be to add the misspelled word and the correctly spelled word to the static update list.

3. A method according to claim 2, wherein tracking a measure includes incrementing a count of how many times the misspelled word has been parsed and the correctly spelled word received.

4. A method according to claim 3, wherein updating the static update list includes adding the misspelled and correctly spelled words to the static update list if the count of how many times the misspelled word has been parsed and the correctly spelled word received exceeds a threshold.

5. A method according to claim 1, wherein updating the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words includes storing the misspelled word and the correctly spelled word in a dynamic update list.

6. A method according to claim 5, wherein updating the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words further includes updating the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words from the dynamic update list.

7. A method according to claim 1, wherein verifying that the misspelled word is not spelled correctly includes finding that the misspelled word is not in the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words.

5 8. A method according to claim 1, wherein receiving a corrected spelling includes:

removing the misspelled word from the document; and
entering the correctly spelled word into the document.

10 9. A method according to claim 8, wherein removing the misspelled word and entering the correctly spelled word is done by a user.

10 10. A method according to claim 1, wherein updating the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words includes replacing an alternate correctly spelled word for the misspelled word in the static update list with the correctly spelled word.

15 11. A computer-readable medium containing a program to update a static update list of misspelled and correctly spelled words in a document with a spell checking program on a computer, the program comprising:

20 parsing software to parse a misspelled word as entered into the document;
verification software to verify that the misspelled word is not spelled correctly;
first reception software to receive a corrected spelling of the misspelled word; and
updating software to update the static update list of misspelled and correctly spelled words.

25 12. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 11, wherein the updating software includes replacement software to replace an alternate correctly spelled word for the misspelled word in the static update list with the correctly spelled word.

30 13. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 12 wherein the updating software further includes:

presentation software to present a user with a choice of the correctly spelled word and the alternate correctly spelled word as the correction for the misspelled word;

second reception software to receive from the user a selected correction word; and substitution software to substitute the selected correction word for the alternate correctly spelled word in the static update list.

- 5 14. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 13 wherein:
 the presentation software includes display software to display a dialog box on screen;
 and
 the second reception software includes reception software to receive a selection in the
10 dialog box from the user.

15. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 13 wherein:
 the second reception software includes third reception software to receive from the
15 user the rejection of both the correctly spelled word and the alternate correctly spelled word;
 and
 the substitution software includes removal software to remove the misspelled word
 and both the correctly spelled word and the alternate correctly spelled word from the static
20 update list.

16. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 11, wherein the verification software includes finding software to find that the misspelled word is not in the static update list of pairs of misspelled and correctly spelled words.

- 25 17. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 11, wherein the reception software includes:
 removal software to remove the misspelled word from the document; and
 entering software to enter the correctly spelled word into the document.

- 30 18. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 11, wherein the removal software and entering software are used by a user.

19. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 11, wherein the updating software includes tracking software to track a measure of how useful it would be to add the misspelled word and the correctly spelled word to the static update list.

20. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 19, wherein the tracking software includes incrementing software to increment a count of how many times the misspelled word has been parsed and the correctly spelled word received.

21. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 20, wherein the updating software includes adding software to add the misspelled and correctly spelled words to the static update list if the count of how many times the misspelled word has been parsed and the correctly spelled word received exceeds a threshold.

22. A computer-readable medium containing a program according to claim 11, wherein the updating software includes storage software to store the misspelled word and the correctly spelled word in a dynamic update list.

23. An apparatus for correcting misspelled words in a document, the apparatus comprising:
a computer and document editor program;
a spell-checking program running on the computer in conjunction with the document editor program;
a static update list of pairs of first misspelled and known correctly spelled words;
a dynamic update list of pairs of second misspelled words and possibly correctly spelled words; and
a measure for each pair in the dynamic update list indicating whether it is worth adding at least one of the second misspelled words and at least one of the possibly correctly spelled words to the static update list.

24. An apparatus according to claim 23, wherein the measures of the dynamic update list are counters.

25. An apparatus according to claim 24, the apparatus further comprising an incrementer incrementing the counters of the dynamic update list.

26. An apparatus according to claim 23, the apparatus further comprising a first update unit for updating the static update list from the dynamic update list.

27. An apparatus according to claim 23, the apparatus further comprising a second update unit for updating the dynamic update list from the document editor program.

28. A data structure in a computer memory device for storing a dynamic update list of correctly spelled words as replacements for misspelled words, the data structure comprising:

a series of entries, wherein each entry includes:

a misspelled word;

a correctly spelled word; and

a measure indicating whether it is worth adding the misspelled word and the correctly spelled word to a static update list.

29. A data structure according to claim 28, wherein the measure indicating whether it is worth adding the misspelled word and the correctly spelled word to a static update list includes a counter storing the number of times the correctly spelled word replaced the misspelled word.

30. A data structure according to claim 28, wherein the entries are organized to optimize searching, insertion, and deletion.




FIG. 1
(Prior Art)




FIG. 2
(Prior art)

...		305	
abotu	about		
adn	and		
teh	the	310	320
...		315	

FIG. 3
(Prior Art)

405





FIG. 4






FIG. 8

Maximum Count: 10

905		
⋮		
910	available	6
915	company	8
	computer	2
⋮		
930		
Maximum Count: 10		

FIG. 9A

955




FIG. 9B





FIG. 10A

```
graph TD
    A{{A}} --> 1014{1014 Does the user replace the word with a correct spelling?}
    1014 -- Yes --> 1015[1015 Receive a correctly spelled version of the misspelled word]
    1014 -- No --> 1020{1020 Is the misspelled word in the dynamic update list?}
    1020 -- Yes --> 1030[1030 Increment the count of the misspelled/correctly spelled word pair]
    1020 -- No --> 1025[1025 Add the misspelled and correctly spelled word to the dynamic update list]
    1025 --> 1030
    1015 --> 1030
    1030 --> B{{B}}
```

FIG. 10B

**FIG. 12**

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UPDATING DATABASE OF AUTOMATIC SPELLING CORRECTIONS, the specification of which:

☒ is attached hereto.

☐ was filed on _____ as
Application Serial No. _____

☐ and was amended on _____
(if applicable)

☐ with amendments through _____
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Sec. 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Sec. 119(a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed: NONE

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Priority Claimed

_____ (Number)	_____ (Country)	_____ (Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Sec. 119(e) of any United States provisional application listed below: NONE

Provisional Application No.

Filing Date

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Sec. 120 of any United States application(s), or Sec. 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Sec. 112. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Sec. 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application: NONE

(App. Serial No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status -patented, pending, etc.)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor:

Ariel S. Rogson

Inventor's signature:

Ariel S. Rogson

3/28/00
(Date)

Residence:

Tigard, Oregon

Citizenship:

U.S.A.

Post Office address:

15045 SW Royalty Parkway, L33
Tigard, Oregon 97224

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Ariel S. Rogson

Serial No.:

Filed:

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UPDATING DATABASE OF
AUTOMATIC SPELLING CORRECTIONS

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application, to file a corresponding international application, to prosecute and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Customer No. 20575

<u>Attorney Name</u>	<u>Registration No.</u>
Jerome S. Marger	26,480
Alexander C. Johnson, Jr.	29,396
Alan T. McCollom	28,881
James G. Stewart	32,496
Glenn C. Brown	34,555
Stephen S. Ford	35,139
Gregory T. Kavounas	37,862
Scott A. Schaffer	38,610
Joseph S. Makuch	39,286
James E. Harris	40,013
Graciela G. Cowger	42,444
Ariel Rogson	43,054
Craig R. Rogers	43,888

Direct all telephone calls to Ariel S. Rogson at (503) 222-3613 and send all correspondence to:

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 S.W. Morrison Street
Portland, Oregon 97205

09527935-020000

Full name of inventor: Ariel S. Rogson

Inventor's signature:

Ariel S. Rogson

3/28/00
(Date)

Residence: Tigard, Oregon

Citizenship: U.S.A.

Post Office address: 15045 SW Royalty Parkway, L33
Tigard, Oregon 97224

000000 50000000